Of

EXHIBITS

	Doc. No.	Def. No.	Pros. No.	Description	For Ident Ev	In
	3150-332B		3804-B	Excerpt from the SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs - Chapter 332 (11 July 1939)		37836
	3150-334		3805	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37839	
4	3150-334B		3805-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 334 (25 July 1939)		37835
	3150-335		3806	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37841	
	3150-335A		3806-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 335 (p. 2597)		37841
	3150-336		3807	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37846	
	3150-336B		3807-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 336 (14 August 1939)		37846
	3150-368(1	B)	3808	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37853	
	3150-368(1	3)-A	3808-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 368(B) (pp.2866-7)		37853
	to he			Rejected		37855
	3150-370		3808	The SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs	37861	

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc. Def		Description	For In Ident.Evidence
3150-370B	3808-A	Excerpt therefrom - Chapter 370 (pp. 2874-5)	37861
	мо	RNING RECESS	37861
3150-373A	3687	HARADA Memoirs - 0 373 (pp.2892-96)	Chapter 37864
3150-374	3809	The SAIONJI-HARADA	37874
3150-374B	3809-A	Excerpt therefrom - Covering the 1 September 1940 En (pp.2921-23)	try 37874
3150-378A	3810	Excerpt from the SA HARADA Memoirs - 378 (pp.2977-80)	IONJI- Chapter 37880
		NOON RECESS	37885
	1		
4041 (Item 15)	3811	Captured German doc ment - Memorandur signed by Wiehl, 21 December 1938	
4075 (Item 9)	3812	Telegram sent to T and signed by Wi dated 15 January	GIIT

of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

				For	In
Doc.	Def.	Pros.	<u>Description</u>	Ident.E	vicence
4075A (Item 9)		3812-A	Except the errom		37905
4096		3813	Secret Reich Metter, dated February 194	2 37910	
4096 - A		3813-A	Concerning the Function of Conversation with Ambassador OSHIMA January 1942 in the presence of the Reforeign Minister the Wolfschanze for 1615 to 1800 hour	on 3 he eich in rom	37910
1383B(9)		3814	Telegram to the Vic Chief from the Mi Attache to the G Embassy		37915
		Đ	FTERNOON RECESS		37916
4025 (Item 1	1.)	381.5	Captured German do ment - Memorando by Secretary of Weizsacker, date July 1941 re a ference held on date with Ambas OSHIMA	State ed 12 Con- that	37919

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

	700		1. N. H. S.	
Doc.	Def. No.	Pros. No.	Description	For In Ident Evidence
525		3816	Reich Secret, dated 25 July 1942	37923
525-A		3816-A	Excerpt therefrom - Notes Concerning the Conversation between the Reich Foreign Minister and Ambassac OSHIMA at Steinort of 9 July 1942	dor n 37923 -
2312B		3817	Minutes on the Discuss of the Reich Minister for Foreign Affairs Ambassador OSHIMA on March 1943	with
4054 (Item	2)	3818	Captured German docu- ment - Telegram from the German Ambassado and Wohltat in Tokyo dated 23 January 194	•
40544 (Item	2)	381.8-A	Excerpt therefrom	37936
40541	8	3813-B	ment - Memorandum s by Wiehl, dated 23 January 1942	37938
4092		3819	Telegram from Ribbent to the German Ambas in Japan, dated 26 1942	SSauoi
		The second second second		

Wednesday, 21 January 1948

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
FOR THE FAR EAST
Court House of the Tribunal
War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,

Appearances:

at 0930.

For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE JU-AO MEI, Member from the Republic of China, not sitting from 0930 to 1600; HONORABLE JUSTICE E. STUART McDOUGAL, Member from the Dominion of Canada, not sitting from 1100 to 1600.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

military alliance and that the Emperor rebuked him for that false statement. And, secondly, that ITAGAKI was planning to send General TERAUCHI to the Nazi conference for the purpose of strengthening the Army view as to what form the alliance should take.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

3150-332B will receive exhibit No. 3804-B.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3804-B and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: This is early July 1939.

of the Privy Seal on the 7th, he was talking about the Emperor and the War Minister. He said: "The War Minister suddenly went to the Imperial Palace and spoke about General TERAUCHI being sent to the Nazi Party Conference. Although the Navy was planning to send Admiral OSUMI at that time, there was no actual formal notice yet from the other /T.N. German/ government, and since this is still confidential, it hasn't been reported to the Emperor, nor has the Navy reported anything concerning this matter. The Emperor already knew that at the conference of the Supreme War

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except SHIRATORI, who is represented by counsel. The surgeon of Sugamo Prison certifies that he is ill and unable to attend the trial today. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

With the Tribunal's permission the accused HOSHINO will be absent from the courtroom during the entire morning session conferring with his counsel.

MR. YAMADA: I am YAMADA, counsel for the accused ITAGAKI. I object to the tender of IPS document No. 3150-332B which was tendered in evidence by Mr. Comyns Carr yesterday. My grounds for objection are that the dispatch of General TERAUCHI to Germany and the opinion entertained by Foreign Minister ARITA with regard to a military alliance are irrelevant in so far as this issue is concerned. It is my submission that in view of these grounds this document has no importance and, therefore, should be rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, the importance of this document is that the accused ITAGAKI is alleged to have reported to the Emperor falsely that the Foreign Minister AkITA favored the

e n & M o r

h

3

8

7

10

12

13 14

15

17

19

20

22

23 24

military alliance and that the Emperor rebuked him for that false statement. And, secondly, that ITAGAKI was planning to send General TERAUCHI to the Nazi conference for the purpose of strengthening the Army view as to what form the alliance should take.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

3150-332B will receive exhibit No. 3804-B.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3804-B and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: This is early July 1939.

of the Privy Seal on the 7th, he was talking about the Emperor and the War Minister. He said: "The War Minister suddenly went to the Imperial Palace and spoke about General TERAUCHI being sent to the Nazi Party Conference. Although the Navy was planning to send Admiral OSUMI at that time, there was no actual formal notice yet from the other /T.N. German/ government, and since this is still confidential, it hasn't been reported to the Emperor, nor has the Navy reported anything concerning this matter. The Emperor already knew that at the conference of the Supreme War

Councillors, the War Minister reported that Foreign Minister AhITA favored the Military Alliance and he /T.N. Emperor/ thought it very distressing.

General TERAUCHI, the War Minister stated to the Emperor that it is necessary to send General TERAUCHI even for the reason of strongly binding the Anti-Comintern Pact spiritually. The Emperor did not think it very good and said: 'You /T.N. War Minister/ know my opinions well. Even before, at the conference of the Supreme War Councillors, you reported an actual falsity that the Foreign Minister was in favor of the Military Alliance. That is very insolent.'"

Next I offer in evidence IPS document No. 3150-333A of the 11th July 1939 when KIDO was Home Minister, with regard to the encouragement of anti-British demonstrations in Japan during the Anglo-Japanese Tokyo Conference. This rebuts the statements in his affidavit, paragraph 121, page 93, record page 30,877 to 8.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, we object to this document on the ground that it has absolutely no importance and has no probative value. If it has any value at all, and this diary has any value, I

suggest that my friend read the short sentence on page 2581 of the document from which it is taken which entirely rebuts what he is trying to prove in IPS document 3150-333A.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Carr, do you wish to say anything?

MR. COMYNS CARM: I have nothing to add, your Honor. I am willing to read the sentence my friend wants if the document is admitted.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I offer in evidence IPS document 3150-334B to contradict ITAGAKI's testimony at page 30,510, line 4, where he denied that he had a conversation with Prince KONOYE a few days prior to the 23d day of July 1939 with respect to the possibility of changing the Emperor's mind regarding the military agreement.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. YAMADA.

MR. YAMADA: I object to the introduction of this document. In my submission this document has no importance and no probative value. For these reasons I object to the introduction of this document.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CIERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 2 3150-334 will receive exhibit No. 3805 for identifica-3 tion only; the excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 3150-334B will receive exhibit No. 3805-A (Whereupon, the document above re-ferred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3805 for identification, the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit No. 3805-A and received in evidence.)

•

MR. COMYNS CARR: (Reading) "On the 23rd,

MATSUDAIRA, the Chief Secretary to the Lord Keeper of

the rivy Seal, and I /HARADA/ went to the home of

Foreign Minister ARITA and we conversed on various

matters for three or four hours as we lunched. ARITA

said: 'When KONOYE met the War Minister the other day,

the War Minister asked KONOYE if it wasn't possible

to change the Emperor's mind in regard to this military

agreement, and KONOYE replied that it was very difficult.

I now offer in evidence IPS document Po.

3150-335A in rebuttal of ARAKI's refusal to confirm
having told HARADA (page 28,399, first question and
enswer thereto) that KOISO had sent Kempei-tai men to
China and Manchuria disguised as laborers (page 28,398

last question and enswer at top of page 28,399) and
that he, ARAKI, said a number of things to HARADA against
KOISO, (page 28399 last question but one and enswer
thereto).

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McMenus.

MR. McMANUS: If your Honor please, I object to this document on the general grounds heretofore stated concerning the entire diary, concerning the edmission of any excerpts from the diary itself.

MR. BROOKS: On behalf of the accused KOISO I object to this as being a document that discusses

a matter that in the light of the date has no relevance or importance in relation to the matters before the Tribunal. I do not believe that KOISO was examined as to the Kempei being sent in work clothes to Manchuria or China. I may be wrong on that. In fact, I don't see what connection he had at this time with them.

But regardless of that, as to the materiality of that I think that it isn't any matter of imperchment and if there is anything in this document of probative value it would be to meet the prosecution's charge of conspiracy between ARAKI and KOISO because this shows definitely that there could not tend to be a conspiracy between the two.

THE PRISIDENT: By a majority the objections are overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-335 will receive exhibit No. 3806 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 3150-335A will receive exhibit No. 3806-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3806 for identification, the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit No.

-

3806-A and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: (Reading) "3 August 1939 ". Education Minister ARAKI said, 'I am not too worried about the Rightists. If we act resolutely, they can be swayed. But the important question is, how the situation within the Irmy will develop and how to gradually take control of this. Next, he said, 'I know quite a bit about KOISO, the Minister of Oversees Affairs. His shortcoming is that he is men of intrigue and trickery. For instance, when the problem of legal tender cross at the recent Cabinet meeting, he boldly suggested that counterfeit currency be used. This is very distressing. There ere instances when he sent the Kempei to Chine and Manchuria dressed in work clothes. However, at a time when Janan is trying to strive for the new order based on virtue, these sets are contradictory and I think it is extremely hermful. KOISO is a person who has power and enthusiasm, but he has not set opinions and deals only in intrigues and trickery. He is a man who can easily be swayed.' It is a matter of course that he did not speek favorably about KOISO, but on the contrary, he spoke very ill of him. Therefore, elthough KONOYE often proposed to unite ARAKÍ end KOISO, I felt it utterly futile."

5 6 7

8

3

9

12

11

14

13

16

15.

17 18

> 19 20

21

22

24

I tender in evidence IPS document 3150-336A to contradict the testimony of OSHIMA, page 34,146, line 3 to 13, in which he denied that he become very angry upon learning that Captain ENDO had made an explanation of the position of the Japanese Navy to the Chief Secretary of the German Navy Ministry in regard to the strengthening of the Anti-Comintern Pact.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

Would like to object to the introduction of this document for the reason that it is certainly not authentic. It doesn't contain anything new, but how HARADA could record on the 14th of August about a conversation which took place on the 3d of August between him and Captain TAGAKI about a conversation which took place at sometime far in the past in Germany with any degree of clarity or authenticity is something beyond my imagination.

He says in here, "So goes the story." Vell, I suppose that story had taken on momentum from the time it began until it took on great proportions.

I want to suggest that Stahmer was here; he could have been questioned about this.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Corr, have you enything to

MR. COMYNS CARR: No, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustatined and the document rejected.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I offer in evidence IPS document 3150-336B to contradict the testimony of ITAGAKI, page 30,513, line 1 to page 30,514, line 12, in which he denied that it was the contention of the Army that a change of the situation necessitated a military alliance, and in which he also denied that as a representative of the general opinion of the Army he fryored the military allience.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. YAMADA.

MR. YAMADA: On behalf of ITAGAKI I object to the tender of this document. With regard to his attitude toward the alliance pact ITAGAKI so for has never denied nor ever said that he did not recall. There is absolutely no new matter contained in this document in respect to the charges against him and, therefore, I submit that there is no importance in this document.

ARITA's observations with respect to ousting ITAGAKI are mere speculation and, therefore, has no relevancy. ITAGAKI's attitude was a moderate one and WRITA recognized that; even ARITA recognized it. Moreover, ARITA, appeared in this Tribunal as a witness and I feel it regrettable that the prosecution did not see fit to cross-exemine him on this question. On these

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

grounds I submit that this document should be rejected. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: With regard to the last observation, your Henor, the Tribunal will, of course, remember that cross-examination of persons other than accused is limited to their affidevits, but I rather think my friend is mistaken. I think he was crossexamined on this at page 30,014. He was certainly cross-exemined on the next extract which is closely linked with this onc.

as to the importance of the matter, your Honor, I submit it is considerable because here is ITAGAKI who as a Minister of State had consented to the compromise plan by which in the event of war between Germany and England and France there should be a kind of nebulous state which varied in interpretation between benevolent neutrality and non-active participation in wer. Here ITAGAKI --

THE PRISIDENT: Can you use his doubts, indecision, or vacillation against him?

MR. COMYNS CARR: But your Honor, what he then shows is that although he had consented, reluctantly apparently as previous extracts have shown, to that compromise here he is saying that there must be en all out military alliance.

23

1

2

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24 25

The state of the s

tender, I should be in the late of the best of the

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitte, on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-336 will receive exhibit No. 3807 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 3150-336B will receive exhibit No. 3807-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No.
3807 for identification, the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit No.
3807-A and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: (Reading) ""Furthermore, the /Foreign/ Minister talked about the details of the Five Ministers' Conference of the 8th" -- that is of August, 1939 -- "The Fremier, to begin with, stood up and said, 'Yesterday the War Minister visited me and explained the Army proposal. "Is have been making efforts along the pre-arranged plan simply because we have recognized the necessity of a treaty. Then /yesterday/ the War Minister said that this proposal did not deviate from the pre-arranged plan, but I, for my part, could not think so. If any of you members of the Cabinet have anything to ask, places ask questions as much as you like." These introductory remarks of

the Premier were followed by various questions from the Cabinet members. To sum up, the army's contention was that a change in the situation necessitated an offensive and defensive alliance; as the first step, however, the pre-arranged plan was to be followed; in case this could not be done, the second step was to be taken, that is to say, an offensive and defensive elliance was to be concluded. The Premier then asked the War Minister what on earth the latter himself thought The War Minister replied to the effect that he was a State Minister on the one hand and at the same time the "ar Minister on the other and that it was quite true that he, as a State Minister, consented to the preerranged plan being followed, but, as a representative of the general opinion of the Army, he consented also to the second step being taken" Then, he said that in his (the Foreign Minister's) opinion, it appeared that either there was still some leeway on the unconditional ellience or the ! ITAGAKI would be ousted. ! "

Next I offer in evidence IPS document No.

3150-336C of 12 /ugust 1939 which was immediately before
the announcement of the non-aggression pact between
Germany and the U.S.S.R. which in fact caused the
resignation of the HIRANUMA Cabinet although that
resignation seems to have been under discussion carlier.

23 24

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

_

25

.

It is a statement by KIDO to HARADA that HIROTA's opinions in relation to the statement of the statement of

7 8

D S p r at

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yanaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: May it please the Tribunal, I object to the tender of this document on the ground that it is unimportant and has no probative value for the following reasons:

In the first place, Mr. HIROTA at this time held no official position and was out of office since May, 1938. Chapters 336, 337, and 338 of these memoirs deal with the machinations of the various parties pending the fall of the HIRANUMA Cabinet and the formation of the ABE Cabinet, and I respectfully submit that unless these three chapters are read together a complete understanding of the meaning of this excerpt cannot be obtained. However that may be, these chapters disclose that during the course of these proceedings the various parties conferred with each other with a view to finding a succeeding premier, and Mr. HIROTA was considered. However, at page 2620 of this same document the Tribunal will find that owing to the ostracism by the Army General Staff and an expulsion movement commenced by the Japanese Army, Mr. HIROTA finally declined to accept office and he did not take the office of premiership.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I have no

2 3

objection to reading, if a document is admitted, any passage my friend wants which is closely connected with it, but I do object to his reading as part of his argument on an objection some other passage in the diary which in this particular case happens to be sixteen pages further on. I haven't even been able to check it.

MR. YAMAOKA: If your Honors please -THE PRESIDENT: KIDO, according to our reading
of this document, says in the first place he met
HIROTA the other day; and then later, "I have not met
HIROTA yet." The inverted commas show that HARADA did
not make the last statement.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I think the translator's note in the 5th line, "He, KIDO, met HIROTA . . ." I would like to omit this translator's note. It is clearly wrong. "He, KONOYE," it should be.

THE PRESIDENT: You are still caught by the inverted commas.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Those, your Honor, I think are quite correct. You see, the whole thing from the words, "When I net KONOYE," in the second line to the end is a statement by KIDO. The first part of it is reporting what KONOYE had told him, and the second part is stating his own opinion.

~

THE PRESIDENT: The discrepancy is still unexplained. It is not apparent; it is real.

By a majority the objections are sustained and the document rejected.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I offer in evidence IPS document 3150-341A to contradict the statement of War Minister ITAGAKI, page 30,477, lines 16 to 25, that he did not delay seeking the approval or advice of the Five Ministers' Conference with regard to the Nomonhan conflict until it had neared its conclusion.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. YAMADA.

MR. YAMADA: On behalf of the accused ITAGAKI, I object to the tender of this document. According to Chapter 334 under date July 25 of the HARADA memoirs, there is the following entry: That ARITA on July 19 had a telephone conversation -- HARADA had a telephone conversation with ARITA on the 19th of July, and learned from the Foreign Minister that on the day before, after the Cabinet Meeting, the War Minister had proposed at the Five Ministers' Conference that the incident be brought to a speedy conclusion through diplomatic channels in connection with the Nomonhan Incident.

THE MONITOR: Slight correction to the latter part: That after the Cabinet Meeting the day before, at the Five Ministers! Conference the War Minister

proposed the speedy transfer of the handling of the Nomonhan Incident through diplomatic channels.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I must again object to other parts of the diary which are not in evidence being used as a part of an argument on an objection. If they are relevant they can be put in at some other time, or if necessary I will read them, but they can't form part of an argument on an objection.

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose they are attempting to use them because they are afraid they will not be allowed to tender them in reply to you. That does not justify what they are doing. They should ask you to read them, Mr. Carr, and if you refuse, then they might furnish some ground to have them tendered in reply.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, your Honor. If our document is admitted I have always shown my willingness to read any other passage which appears to be connected with the matter. This particular one happens to be a year previously, or a long time previously -- no, not a year previously.

THE PRESIDENT: We do not want to hear you further, Mr. YAMADA.

Have you anything to add, Mr. Carr?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, your Honor, in our submission this is important because here is Admiral

3 4

YONAI, a member of the Cabinet, reporting that it was the Japanese Army who started the Nomonhan Incident, and then when they bungled it and only after they had bungled it did they come to have it settled by diplomatic means. It is a clear statement that ITAGAKI was responsible for starting the incident, and that is what we rely on.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

MR. COMYNS CARR: ARITA was asked at pages 28,981-6 concerning a conversation he had with HARADA on 2 July 1940. The exact language set out in IPS document No. 3150-368(B)-A was put to him. He denied both the statement and the facts alleged therein. In rebuttal of this, we offer the excerpt from the SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs, IPS document No. 3150-386(B)-A.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there no objection?

The document is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

3150-368(B) will receive exhibit No. 3808 for identification only. The excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 3150-368(B)-A, will receive exhibit No. 3808-A.

MR. LAZARUS: Mr. President, I thought I heard Mr. Carr say 386(B)-A. I am sorry I got up late to the lectern.

-

THE PRESIDENT: I am told he did say 386(B)-A.
You may take your objection.

MR. LAZARUS: I was looking at the numbers; otherwise I would have come up immediately. I am sorry.

My objection is brief, sir. The document has no probative value, we submit. If the Tribunal will glance through it, it seems that ARITA at two places says HATA's attitude is suspicious. There is reference made to some written document which is not brought into court, and which has not been put into the record. We, therefore, submit that the document should not be accepted.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in regard to the written document, there is nothing about the contents of the written document, just the mention of the fact that there was a written document which is not the subject of the excerpt.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I offer in evidence IPS document No. 3150-370B.

YONAI was asked on cross-examination, page 28,925, whether HATA was in favor of the resignation of his cabinet. He replied: "At first he was not in favor." He was asked, page 28,926, also page 28,929, whether at a conference with HATA in July 1940 he asked the War Minister, "Are you aware of the circumstances surrounding the visit of the Vice-Minister and Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau to Chief Secretary ISHIWATA urging mass resignation of the cabinet?" He was also asked if he did not on this occasion ask the War Minister, "Then what do you think?" Also, if the War Ministry aid not reply, "In the long run, I think it best that the cabinet resign. However, this is still my personal opinion." He denied such questions were asked and such answers were given.

MUTO was asked, page 33,230 and pages 33,241-2, about his visit to Chief Cabinet Secretary ISHIWATA on or about 11 July 1940. He admitted the visit, but denied that Vice-Minister of War ANAMI was present. He likewise denied that he stated, "Then there is nothing to be done but to force the resignation of the War Minister."

In rebuttal of this testimony, the prosecu-

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cole.

MR. COLE: May it please the Tribunal, I object to the admission of this document on behalf of General MUTO, on the ground of its lacking both probative value and importance.

On the question of importance, I appreciate that hearsay evidence is admissible, but in this case on the face of the document itself it appears that the hearsay is twice removed, from YONAI to YOSHIDA to HARADA. Surely there is some limit even under our present rules on the matter of hearsay, and this seems to me to go much too far.

THE PRESIDENT: It all depends through what channels it comes; and here it comes through the Navv Minister.

MR. COLE: Yes, sir. On the question of

importance, sir, on the argument which Mr. Carr has advanced, I contend that this is unimportant because MUTO's admission of the meeting and his explanation fully of what took place there was in answer to questions both by the prosecution on cross-examination and from the bench.

THE PRESIDENT: MUTO has been represented to us as a mere public servant or soldier.

. MR. COLE: I agree with that perfectly, sir. In fact, we contend that. And I should like to point out that he denied that ANAMI was present, whereas if ANAMI had been present at this meeting, it would have been to General MUTO's advantage, because he would have been in the presence of a superior.

. May I make one further point, sir. This document contradicts the testimony of prosecution witness TANAKA, Ryukichi, who appeared here a year ago tomorrow, to the effect that the HATA resignation and the implied resignation of the whole cabinet was caused because the cabinet did not follow the wishes of the army in the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact, an entirely different reason.

23 THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objection 24 25 is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

6

15

16

17

19

20

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, that objection was only taken by MUTO and relates only to the first paragraph. I had agreed with my friend, Mr. Lazarus, that I should answer that before he took his objection to the second paragraph.

THE PRESIDENT: We were not aware of that.
At all events, the first paragraph goes in.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Lazarus.

MR. LAZARUS: On behalf of the accused HATA, I object to the second paragraph for the following reasons, if the Court please:

First, the Court will remember that the prosecution evidence and the defense evidence is that on the morning of the 16th of July at nine a.m., General HATA resigned, and this excerpt is dated the 20th of July. Obviously, then, this second paragraph to which I am objecting was taken at least one day if not more previous to the 16th of July, which would make it about a week before this excerpt was written down.

I am informed by Mr. Brooks that the prosecution has made the statement that sometimes these dates that are in the upper left-hand corner have been placed there by the prosecution, which certainly would

.

show it is the wrong date because the cabinet had resigned a minimum of four days previous to this.

Now, on this second paragraph to which I am objecting, if the Tribunal please, the second and third lines show that the Prime Minister, YONAI, and the War Minister retired to a separate room, and this conversation supposedly took place with the two only present. And the last line states: "I heard all this from Navy Minister YOSHIDA." Yet, if the Tribunal please, there is absolutely no connection shown as to the person from whom either HATA or YONAI -- YOSHIDA got this information in order to quote directly as he does. YONAI denied on the stand here that he had this conversation; therefore, he certainly could not have told this to YOSHIDA.

The statement that this is a queer statement on the part of HATA certainly would not be made by HATA himself, consigning his own remarks to such a category, to YOSHIDA. Therefore, from whom did YOSHIDA get these direct quotes which are purported to be written down here?

My friend, Mr. Carr, informs me that this colloquy between the Prime Minister and the War Minister is supposed to have taken place on the 12th of July, although that is not apparent on the face here.

2 3

Granted that that be so, I conclude my objection to this second paragraph, if the Tribunal please, by saying that no connection has been shown as to where YOSHIDA could have gotten these direct quotes. Certainly not from the Frime Minister, who denied they took place. Certainly not from HATA, because they are apparently inimical to his interests. And certainly they could not have been quoted verbatim at least eight days after they had taken place, although Prime Minister YONAI, the main character, denies that they took place.

One observation more, if the Tribunal please. The Navy Minister, YOSHIDA, is in Tokyo and could have been called to either confirm or to deny this statement directly by the prosecution.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, know nothing about the last statement. But with regard to where the Navy Minister, YOSHIDA, got his information at the time, it is obvious that he got it, as my friend says, either from YONAI, the Premier, or from HATA, the War Minister, the only two people who were present at the conversation, both of whom were fellow cabinet members of his. The fact that one of them, namely, YONAI, has denied the conversation--

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objection is sustained and the document rejected as to the second

part thereof.

£17

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-370 will receive exhibit No. 3808 for identification only. The excerpt therefrom, being document 3150-370B, will receive exhibit No. 3808-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3808 for identification; the excerpt therefrom was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3808-A and received in evidence as above indicated.)

THE PRESIDENT: Read it after the recess, Mr. Carr.

We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

. 24

3 L

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I will read exhibit 3808-A so far as admitted.

Would the Tribunal note that "20 July" at the top of the page should be 12 July. 20 July is the date of the chapter; 12 July is the date of the event -- the conversation.

"12 July 1940

"When I met Chief Cabinet Secretary ISHIWATA, he said: 'ANAMI and Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau MUTO both came to me and said: "The present Cabinet must amicably withdraw at any cost. Because the diplomatic relations are already going ahead satisfactorily due to the Four Ministers' Conference and various other connections, we will say nothing more about foreign policy. However, in order to realize KONOYE's new political order we would like the Cabinet to withdraw." When I disapproved, the Vice-Minister and the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau said: "Then, there is nothing to be done but force the eventual resignation of the War Minister." Saying this, they left."

I now offer in evidence IPS document No. 3150-373-A, which was previously marked exhibit No. 3687 for identification.

20 21

22

23

24

The accused TOJO, on pages 36,665 and 36,680 of the transcript, denied that the decisions, which are set out in this document, accurately represented the decisions taken by the Four Ministers' Conference while the Second KONOYF Cabinet was being organized and by the Liaison Conference after the formation of the Cabinet. TOJO contrasted the document with exhibits 541, record page 6,271, and 1310, record page 11,794.

Fxhibit 541 relates to a different matter from that recorded in the excerpt, namely, that it was a subsequent Cabinet decision after the Cabinet was formed which was, as appears from exhibit 1297, page 11,714, published by the Japanese Government on 1 August 1040. Exhibit 1310 only purports to be a summary of the Liaison Conference decisions prepared by the Japanese Foreign Ministry after the war.

The documents which HARADA asserts that he is transcribing were, according to him, intended to be kept a close secret. We submit, therefore, that this document has important probative value. This is the document with regard to which the witness Mrs. KONOYE gave evidence that when HARADA was dictating to her, he held a document in his hand.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

ER. BLFWETT: If the Tribunal please, I think

the admission of this document should be objected to.

on page 36,671 of the record, the witness TOJO states that the "diary is wrong in regard to many important points... I am forced to believe that he must have written down what he heard from miscellaneous sources according to his own subjective ideas." The witness also, if your Honor please, stressed many points where there is a divergence between the two documents, this document and exhibit 1130, and on page 36,675 testified, "... that portion is something that was added by HARADA. It is not in the Liaison Conference decision."

With those examples and the many others that are to be found in the record, it seems to me clearly that the admission of this document should be objected to.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

3150-373-A, previously marked exhibit No. 3687 for identification only, will be marked as received in evidence and retain the same exhibit number.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to, previously marked prosecution

exhibit No. 3687 for identification, was received in evidence.)

MR. CCMYNS CARR: (Reading)

"19 August 1940

"Furthermore, the following was the result of the Four Ministers' Conference:

ment of the China Incident, and also for dealing with the new world situation, the establishment and the strengthening of our war-time economy shall be made the basis of our domestic and foreign policy. For this purpose, the government will assume unitary leadership in all economic activities and strive to the utmost in the promotion thereof, except in cases of absolute necessity for the existence of the operating forces (military) to dispose of or assume leadership themselves.

"B. World Policy

"1. Corresponding to the sudden changes in the world situation, and in order quickly to establish a New Order for Fast Asia, we plan to strengthen the axis of Japan, Germany, and Italy. The East Asiatic nations must act in concert and carry out various important policies. However, we must decide on the most opportune time in the world situation and not miss it; that is,

9.

...

-

as it concerns the method of strengthening the Axis and its time for realization.

"2. As regards relations with the Soviet Union, a Non-Aggression Pact will be concluded with her by Japan, Manchukuo, and Mongolia (length of effectiveness, five to ten years), and in addition to planning the immediate solution of pending questions, we will realize sufficient military preparedness to safeguard against defeat by Soviet Russia during the period of effectiveness of the Non-Aggression Pact.

Dutch, and Portuguese colonies in the neighboring islands and the Orient within the substance of the New Order in the Far East, positive arrangements will be undertaken. Moreover, we will endeavor to exclude ourselves from international conferences in reference to the above.

"4. Even though we will avoid unnecessary collisions with the United States, as long as it concerns the establishment of a New Order in the Far East we are firmly determined to eliminate any interference on her part by actual force, thereby assuring the realization of our policy.

"The above were the items which were discussed by the Premier," that is, KONOYE "and the three State Minister; MATSUOKA, YOSHIDA and TOJO, while the Cabinet was in the process of being organized. These were the fundamental policies for organizing the new Cabinet.

"At the lisison meeting between the Imperial General Headquarters and the government during July, the points presented by the Imperial General Headquarters on the solution of the situation consistent with the transition of world affairs, were as set forth in the policies as follows:

"The Empire, feeed with the changed state of world affairs, will improve its foreign situation, accelerating the settlement of the China Incident, and together with this, it will seize the most opportune time to solve the problem of the Southern region. In case the settlement of the China Incident cannot be made as yet, the changing of our attitude by laying stress on our Southern region policy shall be decided taking into consideration the various domestic and foreign situations. The various preparations necessary for coping with the above two items will be given the utmost acceleration."

We tender in evidence IPS document 3150-374B which is an excerpt from the SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs corresponding to the entry of September 1, 1940, pages 2921-23, which records a conversation between MUTO and Captain TAKAGI of the Navy Affairs Bureau during which MUTO related how the Army exerted all efforts to induce the dissolution of the existing political parties and the formation of one "Pro-Army Party" (Shingunto) to be led along according to Army desires, and how this plan suffered a blow when Premier KONOYE's proclamation was published in the papers.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cole.

MR. COLE: May it please the Tribunal, on

behalf of General MUTO I object to the admission of this document; first, not on the customary ground, but on the matter of ty imbility at least to understand it.

This document is a glaring example of the defects which apply to the whole of the SAIONJI-HARADA Memoirs, at least the examples which have been presented here. It is completely unintelligible in the Japanese, even for the Japanese themselves.

In order to avoid the confusing problem of
submitting it to the Language Arbitration Board, I
approached Mr. Comyns Carr last Thursday pointing out
my objections which I would like to state briefly here.

May I respectfully suggest, first of all, that Captain TAKAGI, who is mentioned in the first line, according to earlier prosecution documents is a Navy Captain. I consider that important in General MUTO's behalf.

Starting with the fifth line, the entire balance of the document purports to be a quotation of Captain TAKAGI. He in turn starts off by quoting General MUTO. By the time he finishes up -- and the matter is still in quotation marks -- it is quite obvious that he is no longer quoting General MUTO but giving his own opinions and his own prognostications.

·

We have tried earnestly in our office to break down that supposed quotation into its proper and realistic parts, and to the best of our information the only direct quotation of General MUTO contained here are the first two sentences running from line 5 to line 10. The next few following lines are at the very best nothing but an indirect quotation.

Then follows what appear to be the indirect quotations or at least the opinions of directors of bureaus other than the Military Affairs Bureau to which General MUTO was attached. And then, as I have said, Captain TAKAGI finishes up by giving his own opinions and surmises.

I submit, if the Tribunal please, that it is completely unfair to offer a document which is as misleading as this against an accused when the Japanese themselves cannot make sense of it.

My Japanese counsel corrects me, if your Honors please, to say that the last several lines of the document represent HARADA's opinions to the best of their information.

IR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal,
I must again protest against attempts to influence
the decision of the Tribunal by statements as to the
opinions of unknown Japanese persons as to this diary.

. .

THE PRESIDENT: If I thought that for one minute, Mr. Carr, I would not wait for you to point it out. I would deal with Mr. Cole myself. I think his point was to get us to refer to the Language Arbitration Board if we had a doubt.

that we should have no objection if the Tribunal thought it proper; and indeed my friend is entitled to do that, either before or after it is admitted, as your Honor's have often pointed out without asking the Tribunal to do so.

Secondly, I feil to understand why the fact that Captain TAKAGI was a member of the Naval Affairs Bureau should make him a less reliable informant than anybody else.

with regard to my friend's alleged difficulties of construction, it is quite clear from the inverted commas that the whole of it from the fifth line onwards is the statement of TAKAGI. It is also clear, in my submission, as a matter of construction, that the whole of it is a summary by TAKAGI of the information which he obtained from MUTO. But that is a matter of construction, which is for the Tribunal, if they admitted the document, with such assistance as they may get from the Language Arbitration Board. In my submission, the

difficulties which my friend says he feels in understanding it are no reason for not admitting the document.

THE PRESIDENT: No.

MR. COMYNS CARR: At the most they would be a matter of the weight which the Tribunal would attach to it when admitted. In my submission, the subject matter is clearly important.

Kapleau & Yelden

THE PRESIDENT: It looks as though the whole thing was stated by MUTO.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, your Honor, that is how I read it.

THE PRESIDENT: The inverted commas exclude HARADA.

MR. COLE: Sir, I have no further argument, but I do want to make one observation if I may.

THE PRESIDENT: If you overlooked something, we may be generous and let you state it.

MR. COLE: In connection with the question of translation and interpretation, I think my good faith has been questioned and I don't propose to let i. go unquestioned.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Oh, no, not at all.
THE PRESIDENT: Your good faith is not in

doubt.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I am making it quite clear that I have raised no such suggestion in any way.

MR. COLE: Sir, I want to repeat that I took this document to Mr. Carr last Thursday for the specific purpose of avoiding the need of going to the Arbitration Board. We have had many confusing and painful experiences over the question of reference, and that is exactly what I was trying to avoid.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-374 will receive exhibit No. 3809 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom being 3150-374B will receive exhibit No. 3809-A.

(Whereupon, prosecution document No. 3150-374 was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3809 for identification; the excerpt therefrom, prosecution document No. 3150-374B, was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3809-A and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: The date, your Honor, is shortly before the first of September, 1940. I do not have it exactly.

(Reading): "I met Captain TAKAGI again on the train and heard from him of the conversation between Military Affairs Bureau Chief MUTO and Captain TAKAGI which took place at the meeting of the Preparations Committee. (TAKAGI related the following): 'According to MUTO's story, the leading element of the new organization regardless of its title, is actually a political association of political parties. Therefore, MUTO said it was not desirable that the Army

this movement will be rendered impotent thereby. Thus, in this manner, the other directors, were all in agreement with my proposal. These are all superficial reasons and the inner purposes of the Army's contentions must be clarified. The Army had been exerting all its efforts to induce the dissolution of already existing political parties. The Sokushin Doshikai /T.N. Promotion Association/ which had been dissolved, however, does not have much of a voice in the Preparations Committee. As a result, they have become very fidgety and uneasy. On the other hand, the Army planned to unite the political parties into one unit and to create a so-called "Pro-Army Party" /T.N. Shingunto/. They planned to lead them along according to their own wishes. Their plan received a blow when Premier KONOYE's proclamation was published in the papers. KONOYE got the jump on the Army, whereas they had planned to utilize him as a robot. "

I now offer in evidence IPS document 3150-375A of about the 5th of September 1940, a statement by KIDO of his views as to the powers of the Emperor, which was put to him at page 31,576.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, my friend said this is a statement by KIDO. Apparently it is a

2

1

5

4

8

9

11

12 13

14

16

20

15

17

.19

21

22

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-374 will receive exhibit No. 3809 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom being 3150-374B will receive exhibit No. 3809-A.

(Whereupon, prosecution document No. 3150-374 was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3809 for identification; the excerpt therefrom, prosecution document No. 3150-374B, was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3809-A and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: The date, your Honor, is shortly before the first of September, 1940. I do not have it exactly.

(Reading): "I met Captain TAKAGI again on the train and heard from him of the conversation between Military Affairs Bureau Chief MUTO and Captain TAKAGI which took place at the meeting of the Preparations Committee. (TAKAGI related the following): 'According to MUTO's story, the leading element of the new organization regardless of its title, is actually a political association of political parties. Therefore, MUTO said it was not desirable that the Army

participate in such a thing which possesses such characteristics. However, the arguments have changed at this time, and when practical applications are considered, it is necessary to delegate strong political powers to the nucleus of the new organization. In order to do this, it is necessary to appoint party members. The opinions of a great number of other directors are that this new organization is one in which the military, the government authorities, and people must unite in order to construct a country with powerful national defense as clearly contained in the proclamation of the Premier. It is not like the politics of former times when there were various disagreements concerning theoretical policies, and which were struggles for selfish interests. This includes former politics, economy, education, and all other aspects of national life. Not only this, but this present movement is not one which arose from the masses. Rather than that, the actual situation is such that the Military and the Government authorities must do the leading and spread the movement. From these viewpoints, the nucleus of the new organization is not appropriate. Also the fact that the Army and Navy, would withdraw does not signify that this new movement will succeed. On the contrary, there is a fear that

3 4

6 7

8

9

12

11

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

23 24

25

this movement will be rendered impotent thereby. Thus, in this manner, the other directors, were all in agreement with my proposal. These are all superficial reasons and the inner purposes of the Army's contentions must be clarified. The Army had been exerting all its efforts to induce the dissolution of already existing political parties. The Sokushin Doshikai /T.N. Promotion Association/ which had been dissolved, however, does not have much of a voice in the Preparations Committee. As a result, they have become very fidgety and uneasy. On the other hand, the Army planned to unite the political parties into one unit and to create a so-called "Pro-Army Party" /T.N. Shingunto/. They planned to lead them along according to their own wishes. Their plan received a blow when Premier KONOYE's proclamation was published in the papers. KONOYE got the jump on the Army, whereas they had planned to utilize him as a robot. "

I now offer in evidence IPS document 3150-375A of about the 5th of September 1940, a statement by KIDO of his views as to the powers of the Emperor, which was put to him at page 31,576.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, my friend said this is a statement by KIDO. Apparently it is a

2 3 4

1

7

9

10

8

11

13 14

15

17

13

.19

21

22

24

2.5

statement by HARADA, but the source of HARADA's information is neither disclosed in the excerpt nor in SCAP's translation of the HARADA Memoirs. In other words, it doesn't appear where HARADA got his information. Mr. Comyns Carr suggested MATSUDAIRA but SCAP's translation shows MATSUDAIRA's conversation with HARADA ended with quotation marks in the paragraph prior to the one from which this excerpt was taken. There is nothing to show that HARADA was present at the conversation between the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal and the Emperor, and in any event the entire subject matter is unimportant and of no probative value.

From what I can gather from the Memoirs, apparently there was some discussion as to whether MATSUOKA had been the proper person to have appointed as Foreign Minister.

I submit it has no importance at this stage of the proceedings.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, in my submission the diary does show, as a matter of fact, that the source of the information was MATSUDAIRA but in any case, in my submission, that is unimportant. MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, sir, the

3

22

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

diary clearly shows that the quotation marks of what MATSUDAIRA was telling HARADA ended the previous paragraph, and there are no quotation marks in this showing that MATSUDAIRA told HARADA this.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I now offer in evidence my last excerpt from this diary, IPS document 3150-378A, of 20th October 1940 relating to the manner in which KIDO and KONOYE persuaded the Emperor to accept the Tri-Partite Pact and KIDO's reasons for concealing it from Prince SAIONJI, which was put to him at pages 71,571-5.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: With respect to this, if the Tribunal please, in the first paragraph there was some conversation that HARADA is supposed to have had with somebody where he had explained certain things and still had his doubts as to other things. The second paragraph is HARADA's own understanding as to certain matters which are unimportant in and of themselves. With respect to the third paragraph, Marquis KIDO has testified on the stand and it appears from other evidence in the case that this question of the Tri-Partite Alliance was undertaken by MATSUOKA in secrecy

18 19

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21 22

from many people, even his own office.

KIDO's testimony in his diary shows that he didn't know about it until September 12, and it was passed within a week or a few days after that. One of the accused testified that this entire Pact was put through within twenty days from the time it started. I fail to see how there is any importance attached to KIDO's failure, if there was a failure, to tell the Genro about this matter as he himself didn't know about it, as I say, until September 12 or 14.

I notice that this entry is about a month after the Tri-Partite Pact was signed and the record clearly shows that Prince SAIONJI died the next month. I understand he was quite sick in about October.

14 15

10

11

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 3150-378A will receive exhibit No. 3810.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit 3810 and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR (reading): "20 October 1940.

which the recent Tripartite Pact was concluded. I still have my doubts as to how the then Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal and the Prime Minister explained the matter to His Majesty. SAIONJI is also greatly doubtful as to how they explained the matter and obtained the Imperial sanction, for His Majesty had been absolutely against it and had always been saying that he would never give his consent.

And the outline of the matter which I understand from what KIDO and KONOYE explained to me was that in short the Navy agreed to it and that finally both the Foreign and the Prime Ministers also explained to His Majesty more or less in the line that there was no other step to take towards the U.S.A. and the only measure to keep the U.S.A. out of war was the conclu-

sion of a Japanese-German-Italian Military Alliance. However, when we think of His Majesty's august wish and the particulars up to the present, Prince SAIONJI feels that he has no excuse to make. And furthermore, when we consider His Majesty's innermost feelings, we are filled with an almost unbearable feeling of trepidation. As to the above matter, Minister of the Imperial Household MATSUDAIRA said, sometime ago, 'Although I do not directly intervene in political affairs, every time I go into His Majesty's presence, His Majesty showed indefinable regret,' and showed deep sympathy for His Majesty.

Pact, Prince SAIONJI was kept in complete ignorance and it came as a complete surprise. As for me, I heard from naval sources that there was going to be a September Imperial Headquarters Liaison Conference on the very day it was going to be neld. I telephoned KONOYE from Osaka around eight o'clock in the evening. KONOYE answered through the phone that 'he had just returned home from the Liaison Conference, it having just ended. The Navy had finally consented and now the agreement could be concluded.' The following day I met KONOYE and heard the general circumstances from him, but the most important point of how they were able

.12

to obtain the Imperial sanction was not mentioned. Then I spoke to the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal and asked, 'Why did you not tell us beforehand? If there was something wrong with the GENRO, such as his being dull or iil or lacking sense, it would be unnecessary to tell anything. However, under the present situation, it is very regrettable that not a word was said to the GENRO on such an important problem and which directly concerns the fate of our country.' To this KIDO replied, 'I felt too sorry for Prince SAIONJI, so I did not tell him.' I admonished KIDO rather severely, saying, 'This is a problem above such private sentiments. Actual facts are facts. However opposed he might be to it, or however sorry you might feel for him, you must report all that you have to. That is your duty towards His Majesty.' KIDO said that 'henceforth he would report all that he could' and that was all we said about the matter."

Your Honor, I should now like to inform the Tribunal of the progress which has been made in the matter which we were directed to undertake of procuring for the inspection of the defense the two sets of documents which, your Honor will remember, were called A and B; namely, A, the original transcription of HARADA's original notes, containing the comments or

15

6

10

11

12

13

14

17

19

21

22

24

corrections or alterations of SAIONJI; and B, the fair copy that was made. We have succeeded in obtaining from MATSUDAIRA the whole of B and the whole of A with two exceptions, namely, Chapters 51 to 100 inclusive and Chapters 226 to 381 inclusive, that is, the whole of the last part of the diary from some date in 1737 until it ended in 1940. It appears now that those latter chapters covering that period are and have been ever since Novemper last in the possession of KIDO's counsel. I say KIDO's counsel advisedly, because I understand my friend Mr. Logen was not personally aware of the fact.

THE PRESIDENT: Who is counsel with Mr. Logan?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Ponor. I am afraid I don't know, but I understand that KIDO's son is amongst those assisting him.

"Ye ask that those be handed over. (Pause) I understand they have been handed over this morning, your Honor.

with regard to Chapters 51 to 100, we have not so far been able to trace what has become of them, but we asked defense counsel to inquire into the question whether any of them is in the possession of any of those counsel, and if so, to hand them over.

4.

Now that we have got, as I say, the whole of B and large parts of A, it appears that I was mistaken in informing the Tribunal that the thing which had been photostated was B, the fair copy. It is in fact

THE PRESIDENT: "Fill that show the corrections made by Prince SAIONJI?

MR. COMYNS CARR: I was coming to that, your Honor. It does. It shows corrections made by HARADA on Mrs. KONOYE's original transcription, and also some corrections made by SAIONJI where he used, I think it is, a red pencil actually -- at all events, something which the photostat would reproduce. Closer examination, however, has disclosed that in some places SAIONJI used a very light pencil which the photostat failed to pick up. Those are now being examined. So far nothing of any importance has been discovered in any of them.

THE PRESIDENT: "That period is covered by the parts still missing, that is, Chapters 51 and on?

MR. COMYNS CARR: I am sorry, your Honor, I haven't got that information. 1931 to 1932, I think myself. We have got parts of 1931. In fact, I think we have most of 1931. It is probably 1932 to 1933. Almost all of these pencilled notes which we have dis-

covered are merely spelling mistakes which SAIONJI

4 5 6

7 8

meticulously corrected. But we are showing to the defense everything that we find in that respect, and if anything is found relating to any excerpt that we put in, any note by SAIONJI which has not been reproduced which either party thinks is of the slightest importance, the attention of the Tribunal will be drawn to it when the examination is complete.

THE PRESIDENT: "e will adjourn until halfpast one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330. MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Captain Kraft

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Captain Kraft): If the 8 Tribunal please, although the Language Arbitration Board finds no consequential errors the following alterations are submitted. Reference exhibit No. 3789-A, page 3. Delete lines 1 to 6 and record page 37,725, 12 lines 10 to 18 and substitute: "Moreover, according to what KIDO said on or about the evening of the 12th, two or three days ago, since Prince CHICHIBU asked me to 'come over by all means.' I called on him at his palace. Prince CHICHIBU expressed his views as though representing the Army General Staff, questioned me: 'Why does the Government not quit the war immediately?'" Line 13 and record page 37,726, line 3: Delete

"eliminating" and substitute "liquidating."

Line 14 and record page 37,726, line 4: Delete "standpoint" and substitute "spiritual viewpoint." Line 16 and record page 37,726, line 8: Le-

24 lete "look grave" and substitute "now change our atti-

e

& W h

13

15

16

18

19

21 22

tude."

4 5

2.5

Line 31 and record page 37,726, line 4:

Insert after the word "firm" the words "and carefully."

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you, Captain Kraft.

Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARA: Your Honor, with reference to the question the Tribunal asked me this morning about the period covered by the missing volumes, I find it is from the Leginning of September 1932 to the end of September 1933, and the excerpts introduced -- admitted in evidence during that period are exhibits 3764-A to 3773-A inclusive. I might respectfully suggest that further discussion of the matter might be postponed until both parties have completed their examination of the documents.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

Mh. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, as I understand Mr. Carr is now merely reporting the progress made in compliance with the Court's instructions, and I assume that as soon as the prosecution completes going over these documents with the witness that the witness will be made available for cross-examination and the documents will be produced before the Tribunal. I understand that that examination is practically completed and I think it is urgent that the documents be lodged and properly marked for identification

before this Tribunal at the carliest possible date.

I would like, if the Court will allow me, I would like to have the witness for cross-examination to first identify these documents. I would like to have her identify them and ask her some questions about them so when I start a thorough inspection of the it will be with a reasonable basis knowing on which documents I will form my discussion before the Court later on. To make it clearer, after the witness has identified what we have talled of as volume A and volume B and shows the connection with the photostat copy which we have called volume D, I would then like a brief period for examination and comparison of these documents and an inspection to be made, and then to recall the witness because at the present time she is the only one that has that knowledge. I can't tell from examination myself, but I think that --

THE PRESIDENT: .fter you have had an opportunity to consider the position it may not be necessary to recall that lady or to hear another word in this Tribunal about that HARADA Diary. We are wasting a lot of time, perhaps about nothing at all.

MR. BROOKS: My submission, your Honor, is that it will make the cross-examination much briefer and closer to the points involved if they are identified

19[°]

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21 22

23

first and then I have my inspection before I continue the cross-examination.

The other point: I understand that the prosecution also have the original of the SATOMI -- of the group that this man SATOMI corrected for publishing in book form in their office, and I would like to have those produced and identified so that when I get ready to discuss before this Tribunal we will know exactly which documents we are talking about when we talk about the original of the photostat.

THE PRESIDENT: For the time being SATOMI's contributions are not relevant. Before you make any further contribution to this discussion, Captain Brooks, we want, you to lock at A and B and satisfy yourself. it is necessary to recall Mrs. KONOYE we shall do se.

MR. BKOOKS: I have seen, if your Honors please, what is purported to be volumes A, and B in Mr. Monoghan's office, investigator for the prosecution, and also SATOMI's version, original, all three in the same chest, and that is why I think it should be app rent that they should be produced here and given separate identification numbers for the purpose of discussion before this Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: The Court takes a different

24

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

-20

21

22

23

25

view.

Have you any more of these HARADA Diary . excerpts to offer, Mr. Carr?

Mh. COMYNS CARR: No, your Honor.

I was going to say that to save the time of the Tribunal and to help my friend we are quite ready to have the lady present when he examines the documents so that he can there and then ask her to identify anything he wants instead of taking up the time of this Tribunal.

Mk. BROOKS: The matter has been suggested by one counsel as being one for chambers hearing, but I think inspection of these documents by this witness should be made in the presence of the Court so that her enswers at the time they are handed to her can be made a matter of record, because I think it will be a matter of vital importance.

THE PRESIDENT: Further discussion of this question is adjourned sine die.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please -THE PRESIDENT: It is adjourned sine die.
You didn't hear me, apparently, Captain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: May I apply in chambers then for further hearing on this?

And I have another matter on another document.

It is on exhibit 3801-B which had been referred to the

Translation Section, the Arbitration Board. In order to understand the excerpt the next sentence following the excorpt itself as appears in the photostat needs to be read. Now, Mr. Carr has read the third sentence following, but the first sentence following when correctly translated, we submit, states that this excerpt is the army's opinion or solution and not KOISO's. And I think that the Language Faction can bear me cut on that if the Court will allow them to go to the original of the photostat from which this excerpt is taken.

Mr. COMYNS CARR: I have no objection if my friend wents to put in enother sentence.

MR. BROOKS: Then I may ask, I suppose, that the Language arbitration Board give us the translation of the next sentence which will clarify that point which is the main point in issue on the complete document, whether KOISO's opinion was being given or the army's aninion.

THE PRESIDENT: The Language Section is advised accordingly.

Mr. Tavenner.

23

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal places, reference is made now to order list No. 6.

Reference is made to the testimony of OSHIMA appearing at page 34,146, line 14, to page 34,148, line 18. OSHIMA was asked ver ous questions relating to an alleged conference of 21 December 1938 between him and Wichl, Director of the Commerce TradeBureau, regarding preferential trade treatment to Germany, QSHIMA in effect denied what took place at the alleged conference. He testified that economic matters were entirely in the hands of conomic experts and even if such metters were brought to the attention of the embessy that would be done only by the experts of his staff. He also testified that negotiations with Wiehl were conducted in principle by the economic experts on his embessy staff.

I offer in evidence IPS document No. 4041, Item 15, a centured German document, being a memorandum signed by Wiehl bearing date 21 December 1938, to show that OSHIMA actively participated in the negotiations and to contradict OSHIMA as to what transpired at said It is important because it is evidence conference. that OSHIMA had bypessed the embessy in trade negotietions and it shows that OSHIMA was endeavoring to influence trade policy in North China.

24

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal places, reference is made now to order list No. 6.

appearing at page 34,146, line 14, to page 34,148, line 18. OSHIMA was asked various questions relating to an alleged conference of 21 December 1938 between him and Wichl, Director of the Comparce Trade Bureau, regarding preferential trade treatment to Germany.

9SHIMA in effect denied what took place at the alleged conference. He testified that economic matters were entirely in the hands of economic experts and even if such matters were brought to the attention of the embassy that would be done only by the experts of his staff. He also testified that negotiations with Wiehl were conducted in principle by the economic experts on his embassy staff.

I offer in evidence IPS document No. 4041,

Item 15, a centured German document, being a memorandum signed by Wiehl bearing date 21 December 1938, to show that OSHIMA actively participated in the negotiations and to contradict OSHIMA as to what transpired at said conference. It is important because it is evidence that OSHIMA had bypassed the embassy in trade negotiations and it shows that OSHIMA was endeavoring to influence trade policy in North China.

1,2

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If the Tribunal please:

Before the prosecution begins its offer of the entire list of documents, please parmit me to say that all of these documents are directed toward the case of Ambassador OSHIMA. I desire at this time to make a general objection to the entire group. This is done to save time on the objections to the individual documents if they are admitted.

I did have some general observations on the right of the prosecution to intoduce evidence at this time but Mr. Logan has covered them thoroughly in his detailed objections to the HARADA Memoirs.

His witnesses and documents in answer to the ones being offered are now in Germany. The best so ree of the information, imbassadors Ott and Stahmer, have both been repatriated, they are not available for questioning on this matter and they are the ones who would best know.

The matters contained in these documents were known to the prosecution when they put on the general phase of the case. Under the rules then prevailing some of them might have been admitted. We would have had time and opportunity to digest the documents and investigate the facts. Now we don't have these facilities.

3 4 5

7 8

was prepared in the light of the record then existing and we met the charges, item by item. We think we won the issue. We haven't the material available or the informants now to look into these new items. Due to the fact that the accused OSHIMA was five days on the stand gave the prosecution ample opportunity to inquire into these matters, if they were of importance and the documents had probative value.

Due to the diversity of language between the accused and the recorders of some of these documents, there is great likelihood of error of translation which cannot be checked at this late date.

We have absolutely no access to the authors of these documents. I have lost all of my contacts in Germany after this long time.

Military matters which were within and which were we shout the competence of the ambassador under such peculiar circumstances during the progress of a such peculiar circumstances during the progress of a such peculiar circumstances during the progress of a such peculiar term of a very complicated matter, under Japanese military system. The documents presented now raisethis complicated issue anew and at a time when the witnesses who could have explained the matters have left the stand. Anyone of the accused aside from OSHIMA who was in diplomacy as well as having military background

been asked. The accused could have clarified the issues when he was on the stand. To attempt now to prove such matters by documents is unfair.

Once wer broke out and Japan became a participant it was the duty of the ambassador to do all he
could to promote his country's cause. No one would
deny this. Proof of such an attitude is neither important nor necessary; such conduct is natural.

So we object to all of these documents on list No. 6 for these reasons: they are untimely, they are repetitive, they are not important and they have no probative value at this stage of the proceedings.

Specific objections will be taken to the individual documents for specific reasons.

(Whereupon, Mr. Trvenner approached the lectern.)

THE PRESIDENT: We don't went to heer you on the general objection.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Then I should like to object to document 4041, Item 15. Then I understand by your remark that you permit the prosecution to go forward with their proof?

THE PRESIDENT: We deal with each document as it is tendered, Mr. Cunningham. You have helped us

3

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21 22

23

greatly by telling us in advence what is your common objection to all the documents, but we don't expect Mr. Tavenner to enswer that.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: "ell, I did intimate at one time that our situation is a little more peculiar than the situation of the other defendents in permitting the prosecution to reopen their case at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, what is your objection to this perticular document, Mr. Cunningham?

Duda & Spratt

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. CUNNINGHAM: It is not an original, but it is shown that the original document was available, and it should have been produced in two years! time. The mere fact that someone wanted to retain the document for unknown or unstated reasons is not sufficient for its unavailability. There is no showing of the connection between the author of this document and the Japanese Jovernment, and it is most apparent that neither government would be bound by any agreement, chatter, or diplomatic conversation between the parties to this agreement or document. It would not be binding on either of the governments or any of its leaders. It therefore has no probative value. The document is merely an inter-departmental communication of the German Government, whose officials are not on trial here and into whose doings this Tribunal has no right to make inquiry. What they said to each other is so remote that we shouldn't waste the tail end of this trial on such documents.

Now, there is quite new matter presented through this document and the subject matter mentioned in September, 1946, again in the Tripartite matters, and certainly the prosecution had a right to inquire and did, during their case and our case, into these questions if they wished. Such a German document does not come under any provisions of the Charter: at least the

24

prosecution has not qualified the document.

negotiations between two severeign nations and has no bearing on the relations between these accused on trial here for conspiracy, and has no close connection in the cause or the effect of war, and therefore is not important. The document was not even offered to Ambassador OSHIMA for his explanation when they had it in their hards.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

tion to the tender of this document on behalf of Mr. Togo.

It will be noted that in the middle of the first paragraph it refers to his, Mr. Togo's, having originally held out hopes for this preferential treatment; that is, presumably at the time when he was Ambassador to Germany.

Now, the same man Wiehl who made this memorandum has appeared in evidence in this case on several occasions, and curiously enough exhibits 593 and 594, both memoranda by this same Wiehl on this same subject, are in direct contradiction of Wiehl's statement in the document now under consideration; for Wiehl's statements in those memoranda of conversations with Ambassador TOGO not only showed that the Ambassador did not at any time hold out hopes for this preferential treatment but that on the

2 3 4

contrary he presented proposals which in every instance were declared by the German officials to be unsatisfactory to them. I therefore submit that in those circumstances the Tribunal would, I think, be compelled on assaying these documents to find them to have no probative value, and therefore I submit that this one should be rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavonner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, I think the objection lodged by ounsel for OSHIMA can be answered in a very few words.

THE PRESIDENT: The fewer, the better.

MR. TAVENNER: The main basis of his objection is that economic relations is of no moment in the prosecution's proof. Preferential trade treatment to Germany was a very vital matter.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objections are overruled, and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 4041, item 15, will receive exhibit No. 3811.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3811 and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read this document in

3

7

8

9

11

13

15

17 18

19

20 21

22

24

evidence.

1

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

"Berlin, 21 December 1938.

"MEMORANDUM.

"Today, on the orders of the Reich Foreign Minister, I informed the Japanese Ambassador that the Reich Minister in the Pro Memoria on German-Japanese economic cooperation was holding out for a written statement of our preferential status with respect to third powers and was asking the ambassador to intercede for a prompt ruling in favor of this. In this connection, I handed Mr. OSHIMA our last proposal, which I had also given Mr. TOGO on 6 October of this year. I added that we had definite news from Tokyo that the Japanese government was ready to grant the written statement on preferential treatment. Even Mr. TOGO himself had originally held out hopes for this preferential treatment, yet later had averred that the Japanese Government could not grant it. On the other hand, the newly appointed ambassador to Rome, SHIRATORI, in an interview on 26 October in Tokyo, also spoke of preferential treatment for German technology, industry and trade. I further emphasized anew that on the grounds of our surport of Japan (recall of military advisers, stoppage of military deliveries, and consequent large losses), not only for political but also for economic and moral

2

19

21

22

24

reasons, we were entitled to a preferential treatment, particularly with respect to the Americans and British who had again demonstrated a reverse attitude in the conflict only recently by the granting of credits to China.

"I further brought to the attention of
Mr. OSHIMA the so-fat unsatisfactory settlement of
our protests on the practical restraints on German
economic activity in China by the Japanese and delivered
to him a new memorandum dealing with the matter.

"The ambassador indicated complete sympathy with my words. He stated, on the question of preferential treatment, that he had himself always worked for this but that opinion was divided in Japan. The army was for it, also a part of the foreign service, SHIRATORI, for example, were for it; the opposition was to be found principally among domestic economic circles. On the question of the restrictions on practical economic activity in China he emphasized that often military considerations worked inevitably in favor of this and that the ill-humor of the Japanese front /line troops over the fact that at the beginning of the conflict they found the Chinese almost only with weapons made in Germany might be still in evidence here and there. He imparted that he had about 9 December of himself sent

an energetic telegraphic message to Tokyo to the effect that preferential treatment should be granted in writing and that the practical German difficulties in China should either be removed or the German officials in Tokyo and in China itself informed in detail by the Japanese officials on what grounds this was presently not yet possible. He had also entrusted to a Japanese general, who had been here recently and who should have reached Tokyo again about 10 December on his return journey, letters in favor of this to leading figures of the Japanese Army. He promised, in view of today's conversation to report again both by telegram and in writing via a carrier arriving in Tokyo on 20 January."

Signed, "WIEHL."

I offer in evidence, for identification only, IPS document No. 4075, item 9, a captured German document, it being a telegram signed by Wiehl and sent to Tokyo, bearing date 15 January 1942; and I introduce in evidence IPS document No. 4075A, item 9, an excerpt therefron, for the following purposes.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 4075A, item 9, will receive exhibit No. 3812 for identification only.

("hereupon, the document above referred to marked prosection exhibit No. 3812 for identification.

3 4 5

MR. TAVENNER: I offer it for the purposes:

page 34,209, line 22, to page 34,210, line 10, in which he denied having received from the Japanese Government instructions which permitted him to concentrate in his hands all questions in regard to the general line of cooperation in a common war, and that only questions of detail in the military and economic field would be dealt with by the military and economic members of the special commission under the Tripartite Pact; and

(b) To contradict the testimony of OSHIMA, page 34,222, line 10, through page 34,223, in which he took the position that his only participation in the negotiations with Ribbentrop on 2 January 1942 regarding the mutual use of German and Japanese economic power was the delivery of a document to the competent German officials.

This document is important because it shows OSHIMA's activity beyond the ordinary duties of an ambassador, and the fact that he assumed important duties of the special commission under the Tripartite Pact.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If your Honor please, I would first like to object to the prosecution's making

. 13

.

long introductory speeches to their documents. The introduction to this document and what they say it proves is as long as the document itself, and I recall being scolded quite thoroughly on that proposition just a shert time ago.

THE PRESIDENT: Set him an example of brevity.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I submit that two wrongs

never make a right, and they are virtually arguing the summation of their case here.

I should like to object to IPS document 4075-A for the following reasons:

First, that the purpose of the introduction of the document fails to show how it meets the test applied to defense documents offered here. Its probative value fails to appear on its face.

Now, this is a new matter brought up by the prosecution in cross-examination, and certainly they should not be permitted now to introduce more matters on the basis of it being new matter raised during their case.

The accused OSHIMA was interrogated twentythree times by the prosecution. They were not
interested in this matter enough to interrogate him
on the matter, even. It is now mentioned for the first
time here.

2 3

4 5

7

9

10

12

14

16

18

20

21

19

22

24

The original is shown to be available, but is not produced here, only excerpts and parts, and they had over two years for the production of this, too. Why has the prosecution not produced the original, and claimed still non-availability?

How could what a couple of Germans say to each other involve Ambassador OSHIMA? What is the relevancy and the connection between OSHIMA and Ritter and Wiehl? That is the question this document raises. The document says, "For information. Also for delegation" -- an inter-departmental communication between a couple Germans in Europe in 1942, certainly not involving the question of Ambassador OSHIMA's participation in an agreement with these individuals here.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

No. 4075-A. (Item 9) will receive exhibit No. 3812-A. (Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked prosecution exhibit
No. 3812-A and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: It is not an inter-departmental communication, if the Tribunal please. It is a telegram cf 15 January 1942, from Wiehl in Germany to Tokyo.

^

The patter may be argued probably either way, as to whether it is an inter-departmental communication or a telegram. I think it makes no real difference.

(Reading) "On 2 January in a conversation with the Reich Foreign Minister Ambassador OSHIMA gave the information that he had been commissioned by his government to concentrate in his hands for the Japanese side the handling of all questions which concerned the general principles of cooperation in the whole field of the common prosecution of the war and to speak at the proper time with the Reich Foreign Minister and, in so far as Italy was interested, with the Reich Foreign Minister and the Italian Ambassador here.' Nothing beyond purely military and economic questions of detail were to be directly handled by the military and economic members of the subcommissions of the Tri-Partite Pact. At this conversation OSHIMA delivered a memorandum, wherein it was set forth that, parallel to the conversations on military cooperation, concrete negotiations should soon be initiated on reciprocal utilization of German and Japanese economic power. While Japan was doing the utmost to facilitate the delivery of East Asiatic products to Germany, Germany should do everything to deliver machines, munitions material and plants to Japan. To avoid

5 6 7

4

1

9

11

17 18

16

19 20

21 22

23 24

payment difficulties it was necessary that Germany should grant Japan a total credit of one thousand million yen /¥1,000,000,000/ for three years. It was proposed that, until this credit was available, a bridging over credit of 50 million yen for the most urgent German deliveries be immediately placed at disposal. Japan was ready, with the development and util gation of the raw materials-rich South Sea regions, which went hand in hand with the progress of the mili-10 tary operations, to look out for Germany's interest there is every possible way."

I offer in evidence for identification only, 13 MPS document No. 4096, a captured German document consisting of a record concerning the Fuehrer's conference with Ambassador OSHIMA on 3 January 1942 in the presence of the German Foreign Minister; and I offer in evidence IPS document No. 4096-A, an excerpt therefrom, for the following purposes:

(a) To contradict the testimony of OSHIMA at page 34,257, line 11, to page 34,259, line 2, in which he denied certain statements made by Hitler to him on 3 January 1942 relative to naval warfare in the Atlantic and getting submarine war into full blast, and further to contradict OSHIMA's denial that he concurred in statements of Hitler with regard to the

20

18

annihilation of crews of merchant vessels; and

(b) To contradict the testimony of OSHIMA at page 34,211, lines 1 to 17, in which he denied that he told Hitler at a conference on 3 January 1942 that he was empowered by the Japanese Government to discuss the prosecution of the war with the German Foreign Ministry.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I did not know that he was through with his speech.

THE PRESIDENT: I waited, I paused, quite a long time for an objection and none came. I do not think that we should allow this to occur again.

This has been happening nearly all day, that objections have been taken late. There have been explanations in some cases, but not in yours.

AR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, now, I can give you a simple explanation if you will just let me.

Usually the prosecutor says what he has to say and the light goes on and there is a long pause for interpretation. In his case, he is giving it by simultaneous translation, and you just cannot get out of a habit in a minute.

THE PRESIDENT: We will hear you.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: There has been no foundation

laid for the introduction of this document on the material which it covers. The document was not put to Ambassador OSHIMA, nor was it put to the assistant military attache or summoned for that purpose. It was not shown to the accused while he was on the witness stand. It is suggested that it does not say what the prosecution says it carries, and, therefore, the introduction of the document certainly should be confined to what the document itself says.

Now it is offered at quite a late date for us to make any investigation into the matter. We had all of Hitler's conferences and we excerpted about fifty of them and we eliminated them from our part of the case because we did not want to take the Tribunal's time to present them; and now we are confronted with these immaterial things in rebuttal. It seems rather difficult to meet at this late stage of the game.

20 21

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22 23

24

W 0 1 1 f 2 & 3 L 4 e f 5 1 e 6 r 7 8

Now, let me point out this one thing, if your Honors please. Here is an excerpt used at Nuernberg. Now, we were prohibited from using even the official transcript of the words of Ribbentrop at Nuernberg because it was immaterial and could not be of any probative value in this case, but still the prosecution offers an excerpt from a document which was only used as an exhibit there without any official transcript to show its value or relevancy or materiality. They chose to wait until we couldn't answer it, couldn't make investigations into it, and then offer it at this time in the case. It is highly unfair.

(Mr. Tavenner approached the lectern.)

THE PRESIDENT: We do not want to hear you.

By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 4096 will receive exhibit No. 3813 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom, being prosecution document 4096-A, will receive exhibit No. 3813-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3813 for identification; the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit No. 3813-A and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit No. 3813-A: "SECRET REICH MATTER

"NOTE: Concerning the Fuehrer's conversation with Ambassador OSHIMA on 3 January 1942 in the presence of the Reich Foreign Minister in the Wolfsschanze from 1615 to 1800 hours.

"Again the Fuehrer asked the Ambassador not to mention his statements to the Italians. If anything were let out, all efforts would be in vain. In this connection he recalled 22 June. He added that he would discuss military affairs only with Ambassador OSHIMA personally. ****

sador on the map the prospective naval war situation in the Atlantic, emphasizing that he considered it his most important task to get the submarine war going full blast . . . After making further explanations with the aid of the map, the Fuehrer pointed out that no matter how many ships the U.S.A. built one of their chief problems was the personnel shortage. For this reason the merchant vessels were also being sunk without warning with the idea that as large a part as possible of the crew should perish in consequence. If word should once get about that most seamen were lost in torpedoings, the

Americans would soon have difficulties in recruiting new reople. The training of seafaring personnel took a long time. We were fighting here /T.N. here struck through in original/ for our existence and therefore could not permit any humanitarian points of view to govern. For inis reason he had to give the order that in case foreign seamen could not be taken prisoner, which was not for the most part possible on the open sea, the submarines were to surface after torpedoing and shoot up the lifeboats.

"Ambassador OSHIMA sincerely concurs in these statements of the Fuehrer and says that the Japanese, too, are forced to follow these methods. *****

"He, OSHIMA, was empowered by his government to discuss the prosecution of the war with the Reich Foreign Minister. Even though individual questions could be discussed directly between the army, the air force, and, above all, the navy, in his opinion it was of the greatest importance that the main line /of policy/ should be laid down exclusively by him and the Foreign Minister. The same was true for the economic and political questions."

General KAWABE at page 33,779, line 10, defined the duty of the Military Attache in the Japanese Embassy in Berlin as follows:

"I was the official Japanese military representative stationed in Berlin and my duties included to convey the views and opinions of the Army to the ambassador, to transmit the views and opinions of the ambassador to the Army in Japan, and also to report to the Army the progress of negotiations."

Exhibit 3518, page 34,238 of the transcript, is a telegram of May 4, 1941, OSHIMA to MATSUOKA, 12 in which OSHIMA remonstrated against a proposed trip 13 by MATSUOKA to the United States, and requested MATSUOKA 14 to inform him of the truth of the rumor about his 15 trip by return mail. IPS document No. 1383-B(9), now 16 sought to be introduced in evidence, is a telegram of May 3rd from the military attache in Germany to Tokyo on the same subject matter. Exhibit 3519, page 34,245, line 1, is the reply from MATSUOKA to OSHIMA's telegram of May 4th regarding the same subject matter in which he advised OSHIMA to let the military attache 22 know about the matter. 23

Our position is that the telegram of the military attache, that is, the document now handed to the Tribunal, is made admissible as part of the

10

negotiations between Berlin and Tokyo on the ground
(1) that military attache in sending it was discharging
his official duty in transmitting to the Army the views
of the Ambassador, and (2) that the reply by MATSUOKA,
which is exhibit 3519, made sufficient reference to it
to constitute evidence of knowledge on the part of
OSHILA of the existence of the telegram.

I offer in evidence IPS document No. 1383-B(9), a telegram from the military attache to the Vice Chief of May 3, 1941, bearing the seal of the Foreign Office and initialled by the Vice Foreign Minister for the purpose of showing the influence that was brought to bear on MATSUOKA in connection with his negotiations with the United States.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham,

MR. CUNNINGHAM: If the Tribunal please, I object to the introduction of the document; in the first place because the prosecution had it during their own case and our case, and it is authored by BANZAI, the Military Attache in Berlin who is now dead, and it is a little difficult for us to investigate it at this lete hour.

We admit the duties of the Military Attache and we admit the manner in which the Military Attache carries on his relations with the Japanese Army. We

23

10

13

15

16

17

19

20

22

2

.

admit the relation between the Japanese Ambassedor and the Military Attache. We have explained all those things to the Tribunal; prosecution doesn't have to do it now.

My objection to this document is that it doesn't contain anything direct, concrete or reliable which would bind the accused OSHIMA although it names him. This document quotes the press agency, which has been totally disregarded in this trial as unworthy of any probative value, and this document certainly has no probative value if it quotes something of the Japanese press. The thing that it emphasizes is the threatened resignation of OSHIMA which isn't important.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 1383B(9) will receive exhibit No. 3814.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3814 and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read the exhibit.

"Seal of Foreign Office.

"Telegram Top Secret and Personal
"Vice-Minister /initialled CHUICHI/

3

7

5

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

23

24

_

"May 5, 1941

"To the Vice-Chief from the Military Attache to the German Embassy.

"No. 185

"As reported in the last telegram, Foreign Minister MATSUOKA's visit to USA will produce a bad influence upon the Tripartite Alliance. The recent statement by ISHII, the Chief of the Publicity Bureau, reported by the Domei News and the report in the Japan Times implicitly confirm the said visit to the USA which would greatly shock not only Germany, but also the Allied /T.N. Axis/ countries thereby creating a very unfavorable situation that would nullify the results of Foreign Minister MATSUOKA's having expressly visited Germany and Italy.

"Especially ISHII cannot be excused when he boldly stated that Germany and Italy should be asked concerning the visit to the USA. If such /a situation/ be left alone the problem regarding the resignation of Ambassador OSHIMA may arise thereby causing a fiasco in the basic problems of our foreign diplomacy. I wish you would give these points your special grave deliberation."

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:) ₹ 18

Knapp & Kapleau

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: I offer in evidence IPS document No. 4025, Item 11, a captured German document, it being a memorandum by State Secretary Weiszaecker, bearing date 12 July 1941, recording a conference held on that date with Ambassador OSHIMA, for the purpose of contradicting OSHIMA's testimony, page 34,288, line 6 to line 21, in which he denied having advised MATSUOKA to break off negotiations with Washington.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I object to the introduction of this document, for the reason that it tends to prove a fact which we admit, to wit, that the German Government had such an efficient news-gathering agency they knew more about what was going on in Japan than Ambassador OSHIMA. We admit that. That is one of the statements in the document. We admit it, and therefore there is no reason for proving the fact that the American-Japanese negotiations were kept secret from Ambassador OSHIMA. This is uncontested so far as we are concerned. There is no need to prove

Now, we agree it might have averted a war if the Ambassador's advice had been followed and the American-Japanese negotiations had been terminated as the Ambassador recommended, but it is merely speculation. We can't say what happened and what did not happen as a result of this advice, and therefore that part of the document is immaterial and of no probative value.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 4025, Item 11, will receive exhibit No. 3815.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit 3815 and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I neglected, if the Tribunal please, to state what I intended to state, that the document is also introduced for the purpose of showing it was OSHIMA's opinion that there was an urgent need for air and naval bases in South Indo-China.

I will read the exhibit: "Berlin, 12 July 1941.

"St. Sec. No. 477.

"The Japanese Ambassador who came to see me

9,1

on another matter today mentioned that he had had an retive exchange of telegrams recently with his foreign minister. He had tried to induce him to determine the center of gravity of further Japanese policy and to decide between South and North, for a dispersal of Japanese forces was impossible. In principle MATSUOKA has agreed that OSHIMA was right without, however, expressing himself concretely.

"I then asked the Ambassador how things now stood with Indo-China. OSHIMA replied that in fact the occupation of certain positions in Southern Indo-China as a base for aircraft and warships was an urgent Japanese need. Whether one should previously inform the French Government or merely sound it out was another question. OSHIMA did not consider the move toward South Indo-China imminent. He named no term and thought that Tokyo would probably wait for a good opportunity. (The term of 17 July mentioned in wire report No. 1181 from Tokyo does not seem to be known to OSHIMA.)

"OSHIMA gave, it is true, a few opinions on the further development of Japanese-American relations; he was, however, evidently poorly or not at all informed from home. He sought rather to gather some news from me. I then told him that there was up to

3 4 5

7 8

now an only partially decoded report from Ambassador
Ott, which appeared to me to indicate that Tokyo had
in the meantime received news from Washington. "ithout complete particulars I could not explain further.
On the other hand, it had struck me personally with
what naivete and impudence the Americans had now
established themselves in Iceland. Did OSHIMA assume
that the Americans considered their rear in the Pacific
Ocean as secure as the Iceland case would really lead
one to believe? OSHIMA answered that only /by saying/
that his own views on how America was to be handled
were known to us. He had again advised MATSUOKA recently simply to break off the conversation with Washington.

"(I do not believe that OSHIMA is aware that an answer from Washington is in Tekyo, a fact which came to our knowledge first from a certain source through the Italian Ambassador in Tokyo.)

"With this

"to the German Foreign Minister.
"WEIZSACKER"

At page 34,272, line 11 to line 23, OSHINA denied that he was in favor of a Japanese attack on Russia, and at page 34,278, line 1 through page 34,280, he denied statements attributed to him in a conference

.

with Ribbentrop on 9 July 1942 which were put to the witness for the purpose of proving his state of mind at that time.

I offer in rvidence for identification only
IPS document No. 525, a captured German document,
consisting of notes concerning the conversation between
the Reich Foreign Minister and Ambassador OSHIMA at
Steinert on 9 July 1942, and I offer in evidence IPS
document No. 525-A, an excerpt therefrom, in contradiction of the foregoing testimony of OSHIMA.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. SHIMANOUCHI.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: I object to the tender of this document on behalf of OSHIMA. The importance of this document is to be found in the fact that it shows a German desire to have OSHIMA report to Tokyo the German desire to have Japan attack Russia.

THE MONITOR: "... the only importance of this document ..."

MR. SHIMANOUCHI (continuing): This is only a natural function of an ambassador, and therefore this document is not important here or material. Furthermore, OSHIMA's state of mind is not a question to be tried in this Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: We certainly cannot agree with the last submission.

By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 525 will receive exhibit No. 3816 for identification only; the excerpt, being 525A, will receive exhibit No. 3816-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit 3816 for identification; the excerpt therefrom being marked prosecution exhibit 3816-A and received in evidence.)

2)

_

I will read exhibit 3816-A: MR. TAVENNER: "REICH SECRET

"Notes concerning the conversation between the Reich Foreign Minister and Ambassador OsHIMA at Steinert on 9 July 1942.

"Reich Foreign Minister speaking/

"Vladivostok was constantly the biggest threat for Tokyo from the air -- particularly so if American bombers should be stationed there as they recently had been in China. Sooner or later in the course of war this would take place anyway, that is, if Russia were not eliminated promptly. And in regard to the 60 or 80 Russian submarines located there, according to our experience, the Russians little knew how to use these weapons. In the Baltic sea there also were on hand a like number of Russian submarines, which never had been able to do the slightest harm. He thought that it was best to make the decision and to attack the Russians, should the Japanese army feel strong enough and be ready with its deployment in Manchuria. The Feuhrer had until now held the opinion that the Japanese, after their big successes, should first consolidate their new territories, and that our offensive in Russia should first be farther advanced, and he had thought it best that Japan should not undertake too much, so as not to endanger their own

11

12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

situation. But now, after the recent so universally favorable military developments in Russia and the experiences gained there, which he had described to the ambassador, he also was of the opinion that the moment had come for Japan to enter the common fight against Russia, providing she felt strong enough. Should Japan by a rapid thrust occupy Vladivostok and possibly the territory up to Lake Baikal, the Russians, on both sides, would be in an extremely difficult position. The war would then be practically decided, and the Tripartite powers could then exert all their power against the English and the Americans, who would then have no hope.

"Ambassador OSHIMA replied that he was overjoyed at the developments in Russia and North Africa as
depicted to him by the Foreign Minister. He himself
was convinced of the imperativeness of a Japanese
autack on Russia and of the imperativeness of the blocking of English supplies to Egypt and would immediately
report to Tokyo in accordance with the Foreign Minister's
statements, which were of the greatest interest to
Tokyo. OSHIMA seemed very enthusiastic over the idea.

"Berlin, 10 July 1942.

"(signed) GOTTFRIEDSEN."

The next document, No. 7 on the order list, I

,

will omit.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

IPS document No. 2312, a captured German decument recording the minutes of the discussion of the Reich Foreign Minister with Ambassador OSHIMA on 6 March 1943 was received in evidence for identification only, as exhibit 812, page 8013 of the transcript. I now offer in evidence IPF document No. 2312-B, an excerpt therefrom, for the purpose of contradicting the testimony of OSHIMA appearing at page 34,265, line 5, to page 34,266, line 10, in which he denied that there were conditions attached to the presentation of two U-boats by Germany to Japan, and for the further purpose of contradicting the testimony of Admiral NOMURA.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner, one of the Judges would like to know how it was you did not use these documents in your case in chief when you appear to have had them long before you closed the case? I refer now to several documents admitted this afternoon.

I know that according to the test we laid down recently you are quite within your rights in tendering them.

MR. TAVENNER: In the main, I think that question can be answered in this manner: That the defendant OSHIMA took the position, for instance, that he had not favored a war with Russia in his affidavit and in his

21 22

24

cross-examination, as a result of which questions were put to the accused confronting him with certain definite and specific conversations that he had, in which --

THE PRESIDENT: The particular Judge knows all that, but he does not know why you did not use those ocuments when you were giving evidence in chief, that s, before the prosecution rested its case, seeing that you had the documents long before you rested your case.

The American Army certificates are dated April 1946 and some of them before the Indictment was presented in Tokyo. I know that under our recent ruling you are 12 entitled to offer it, but the particular Judge wants to 13 rnow why you did not give it as part of your case in 14 chief.

IR. TAVENNER: I would state that the principal reason is that it was not contemplated at the time that there would be a denial to the particular matter, and in some instances the importance of the particular item was not appreciated at the moment.

THE PRESIDENT: Was it anticipated, for example, that OSHII'A would admit that he approved of Hitler's statement that American seamen should be deliberately shot in the water when their ships were submarined? Did you have that evidence before you rested your case? IR. TAVENNER: No, sir. That document was brought

15

19

20

21

back here from Washington, I think either in August or September 1947, and it did not come to our attention until after the general Pacific phase of the defense was over.

THE PRESIDENT: There are other documents which were available to you before you rested your case, but yousay you did not use them because you could not anticipate that OSHIMA would not have denied their contents?

MR. TAVENNER: In some instances.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. Well, proceed with this document.

MR. SHIMANCUCHI: I should like to say a few words, sir.

I should like to say that the defendant OFHIMA has not denied that he had heard from Hitler the point about the killing of merchant seamen by submarines. OSHIMA has stated in his affidavit and also in a memorandum which he tendered to Captain Robinson that in January 1941 Hitler had told him, OFHIMA, that the German Government had issued orders to German submarines to kill survivors of merchant ships. Regarding the killing of merchant seamen by submarines, the evidence heretofore presented by the prosecution has been parts of interrogations of the accused.

1

5

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

Gre 1 e 2 n 3 e 4 g 5 % 6 Y 7 l d e n 9

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, if I may be permitted to interrupt, all of the remarks are addressed to the contents of the previous document which was admitted. I thought I should call that to counsel's attention.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: I wanted to speak of the connection between that document just referred to and the present document which is being tendered. According to the evidence already adduced by the prosecution, OSHIMA is said to have heard of this matter from Ribbentrop in the course of a conversation with the German Foreign Minister in March, 1943. It is therefore new clear that there was no conversation whatsoever as to the killing of merchant seamen by this document. Hence, there is no importance in this document.

THE MONITOR: That word, "therefore," should be omitted in the sentence before. He corrected it.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Now, your Honors, we get to the objection on this specific document. This other discussion was aroused by the question which your Honor asked about the submarines. As was suggested, the original prosecution case emphasized that Ribbentrop and OSHIMA had conversations about this matter, and that is why I met that issue in Ribbentrop's affidavit.

We had no inkling that they contended that OSHIMA and Hitler talked about it in 1942, which we contend is not the case.

Now, the objection to prosecution document 2312-B -- that is what we are talking about, isn't it? So that we understand each other -- I object to the use of this document when there is neither sufficient time nor facilities to question the author or to investigate the circumstances surrounding it. Now, the prosecution didn't identify this Gottfried. Gottfried was the young man to whom Stahmer referred as his successor as liaison between Ribbentrop and OSHIMA after Stahmer came as envoy to China. This document doesn't prove anything new or add anything new. The document leaves more doubt than ever as to its own significance because if the prosecution wanted to throw some light on this case they could show this Tribunal what happened to those two submarines. They had plenty of opportunity to ask Admiral NOMURA what the actual situation was. Your Honor, it has been explained here time and again. This U-boat -- two submarines -- transfer went through the Japanese Embassy in Berlin and OSHIMA handled the diplomatic transaction. Now, why should we spend hours discussing a small matter like that?

24

1

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner, a Member of
the Tribunal desires to know whether the prosecution
with this document drop their submission that one of
the conditions of the transfer of the German U-boats
was the acceptance of the policy of killing survivors?

MR. TAVENNER: No, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor pleases, there is a possibility I misunderstood the form of your question. Would you mind repeating it?

(Whereupon, the official court reporter read the President's question.)

MR. TAVENNER: I understood the question

MR. TAVENNER: I understood the question properly.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 2312-B will receive exhibit No. 3817.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3817 and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 3817:
"Minutes Reich Minister for Foreign Affairs
14/43 top secret (in ink).

"Minutes on the discussion of the Reich Minister

for Foreign Affairs with Ambassador OSHIMA on 6 March 943.

"The Reich Foreign Minister then questioned the Ambassador with respect to the telegram forwarded from Tokyo during the last discussions, whether he had new reports from his Government concerning the submarine question, and what actually it intended to do in this direction. OSHIMA replied the Japanese Government intended to accentuate the submarine warfare and 10 would like to make the necessary preparations to this 11 end. The present Japanese submarines were not suitable 12 for this warfare, a fact which Admiral Doenitz had 13 confirmed after examination of the Japanese boat in 14 Lorient. Therefore, the Japanese Navy would have to 15 rearrange its building program and would be thankful 16 if they could obtain two U-boats as specimens from the German Navy.

"The Reich Foreign Minister asked Ambassador 18 OSHIMA to see him once more on the same day, in order 19 to inform him that the German Government, after con-21 sulting the Navy, was prepared to put one or two J-boats at the disposal of the Japanese Navy. He 23 suggested that Admiral NOMURA now discuss the details of this matter with Admiral Meissel. The German Government did this on the condition that the Japanese

Navy would start as fast as possible the U-boat building program on a large scale and in mass production. The Ambassador stated that the Japanese Government was prepared to do this. The training of the crows would not offer any special difficulties as the training of a U-boat crew for use against merchant shipping is easier than for use in large Japanese submarine cruisers for naval operations. As for mass production, CSHIMA was of the opinion that this question also would certainly be solved as the smaller boats could be built faster and did not require so many complicated installations as the boats hitherto built there /in Japan/. Reich Foreign Minister (RAM) on his part pointed out that the U-boat itself could be built rather quickly, the main difficulty, however, lay in the construction of the machines. In order to assist the Japanese Navy in carrying out the program it would surely be possible to put German engineers at their disposal for the machine construction and also officers who had sufficient experience for the tactical use of the boats against merchant shipping. OSHIMA stated that the Japanese Navy would certainly be very grateful for every assistance and he would now ask Admiral NOMURA to discuss the details of this problem with the German Navy.

"The Reich Foreign Minister (RAM) expressed his opinion that Japan within a short time would be able, from her far advanced bases, to make an effective assault at the enemy supply lines and after Ambassador OSHIMA on behalf of his government had expressed his thanks for the German willingness, the Reich Foreign Minister (RAM) then took leave of the Ambassador.

"Berlin 9 March 1943.

"(Signed) Gottfried."

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, I wonder if I could ask a question.

In the defense case the prosecution gradually came in to explain their side of the case with reference to the record and documents. Now, on matters of this kind we have many references in the record that answer the prosecution's issues. What is the position now?

THE PRESIDENT: I can only recollect the prosecution, during the cross-examination, referring to documents and saying, We will not cross-examine about this but we will refer to documents to save time. That was allowed to the defense also.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I submit that it would be much more effective from our point of view if we could cite the place in the record that refutes the claim of

3 4

the prosecution as you are considering the matter rather than waiting for summation or waiting for our surrebuttal, which will take a long time in picking up what the prosecution are doing and then offering our evidence in addition, don't you see?

THE PRESIDENT: We have no intention of making any such rule at present.

MR. TAVENNER: Shall I proceed?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

MR. TAVENNER: With regard to OSHIMA's presentation of a plan providing for a German credit to Japan of one billion yen to enable Japan to obtain machines, armaments and factory equipment, page 34,223, lines 12-23, OSHIMA denied that the presentation of this plan of credit was made on his own initiative and without authority of his government.

I offer in evidence two documents in contradiction of this testimony. They are important because they show the extent of OSHIMA's activity.

First, I offer in evidence for identification only IPS document 4054, Item 2, a captured German document, it being a telegram from the German Ambassador and Wohltat in Tokyo, bearing date 23 January 1942; and I offer in evidence IPS document No. 4054-A, an excerpt therefrom.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I object to both documents for the reason they are not important, have no probative value, deal only with economic matters, transactions between the Foreign Offices of two different governments at a time of war. I suggest that nothing came of this matter and that, certainly, the prosecution ought to put in their evidence first as to what the result was before they show the negotiations which turned out to be useless. I suggest that that is what OSHILLA was in Berlin for, to carry on the negotiations between the two countries. We do not deny that. It was his duty. This is cumulative and immaterial and, certainly, has no relevancy; it is details of negotiations for an economic agreement which are nothing unusual between allies at war.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 4054, Item 2, will receive exhibit No. 3818 for identification only; the excerpt, being document No. 4054A, will receive exhibit No. 3818A.

(Whereupon, document No. 4054 was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3818 for identification; and the excerpt there-

24

1

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

from, document 4054A, was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3818A and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read document 3818A omitting the formal parts:

"23 January

"(Secret Reich Matter)

"1) OSHImA's proposal on the granting of a thousand million credit, which according to secret information reaching me is on his own initiative, only enlarges the order of magnitude of the objects under negotiation. The content of the treaty text is, however, not furniamentally affected, because, in contradiction to OSHIMA's assumption, an agreement to take effect right now in the war has been negotiated with the Japanese Government. The Japanese Government and we, in advance of OSHIMA's action, were already agreed that the treaty text now being printed should be put into use as soon as possible.

"5) In consideration of the fact that OSHIMA has not been able to put across his personal views in the economic-political field (preferential treatment in North China, for example) with his government, it must be doubted whether the latter will empower him to make commitments in the above sense and to the extent

20 21

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

22

23 24

necessary to cover the German demand for raw materials.

I now offer as the second document IPS document No. 4054-B, a captured German document, it being a memorandum signed by Wiehl, bearing date of 24 January 1942, an additional excerpt from the original document.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to this document for the reason that it is only an economic negotiation between the countries at a time of war, nothing unusual, has no probative value, is of no importance in solving any of the issues involved in this case.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 4054B will receive exhibit No. 3818B.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3818B and received in evidence.)

MR. TAVENNER: I will read exhibit 3818B:

"Berlin, 24 January 1942

"MEMORANDUM

"The Japanese envoy, MATSUSHIMA, came back today on the subject of our talk of yesterday and

2

3

4 5 6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

stated as follows: He had reported to Ambassador OSHILLA on our conversation of yesterday. The latter was of the opinion that it would delay the concluding of the credit treaty too long if first the position of the Japanese Government regarding the treaty proposal advanced by me were obtained. OSHIMA had accordingly ordered him to deliver to me his proposal for an 'economic alliance treaty' with a secret protocol, although the assent of the Japanese Government therets already requested some time ago by the Japanese Ambassador here had not yet come in. Ambassador OSHIMA in this connection had on his own initiative altered the secret protocol to this economic alliance treaty so that it corresponded with Item 1 of our credit proposal. OSHIMA believed he would get the approval of his government to the adjustments proposed by him just as soon as he could report that the German Government was in accord with them. The adjustments could then be signed here immediately. If the treaty were concluded in its essentials in this way, the succeeding negotiations to take place in Tokyo on the further details contained in the German credit proposal would swiftly lead to a result."

I will omit reading the rest of the memorandum.

25

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

At page 34,272, lines 11 to 23, OSHIMA denied that he was in favor of a Japanese attack on Russia, and at page 34,276, line 16 to page 34,277, line 5, he denied agreeing with Ribbentrop's suggestion on 23 March, 1942 that an advance of Japanese armies against Vladivostok in the direction of Baikal Sea was advisable and denied that he was in favor of such action. At page 34,226, line 25 to page 34,227, line 12, questions were put to OSHIMA regarding the discussion with Ribbentrop on 23 March, 1942 of future economic cooperation, and he asserted that he did not handle economic negotiations at page 34,230.

I offer in evidence IPS document No. 4092, it being a telegram from Ribbentrop to the German Ambassador in Japan, bearing date of 26 March 1942 in contradiction of the foregoing testimony. The document is important in that it shows OSHIMA's efforts to influence his government's decisions.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Object to this document for the reason that it is a purely one-sided view of Ribbentrop, no probative value except to show a strong desire of Ribbentrop to induce Japan to attack Russia, which is an established fact in this trial, and any more evidence in this respect is cumulative. As I recall, I put forth great effort to show this

15.

Tribunal that Germany did everything within its diplomatic power and put all the pressure they could on Japan to get Japan to attack Russia, and Japan failed to cooperate.

Now, on the economic matter, it is not important, it is not material what the Ambassador did in promoting the economic relations of his country in regard to European nations; and, therefore, the document has no probative value and, certainly, has no importance here.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

Read it in the morning, Mr. Tavenner.

Call the number.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 4092 will receive exhibit No. 3819.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3819 and received in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until halfpast nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Thursday, 22 January, 1948 at 0930.)

E ST

9

10

12

13

14

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24